

SIGAL CHATTAH
United States Attorney
District of Nevada
Nevada Bar No. 8264

KARISSA D. NEFF
Assistant United States Attorney
Nevada Bar No. 9133
501 Las Vegas Blvd. So., Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Phone: (702) 388-6336
Karissa.Neff@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Jorge Luis Ramirez Lopez,

Plaintiff

v.

Clyde A. Moore, Field Office Director, for
Las Vegas Nevada, U.S. Citizenship and
Immigration Services, in his official capacity
as well as his successors and assigns, et al.

Defendants

Case No. 2:24-cv-01595-CDS-BNW

**Order Approving Stipulation to
Extend Federal Defendants' Deadline
to File Reply in Support of its Cross-
motion for Summary Judgment
(Third Request)**

[ECF No. 32]

Plaintiff, Jorge Luis Ramirez Lopez, through counsel and the United States of
America on behalf of Federal Defendants ("Federal Defendants"), through undersigned
counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. On January 28, 2025, the Court entered an order approving the stipulated
briefing schedule establishing the following deadlines: Plaintiff's motion for summary
judgment was to be filed by March 7, 2025; Federal Defendants' opposition and combined
cross-motion for summary judgment was due by April 4, 2025; Plaintiff's reply in support
of his motion and his opposition to Federal Defendants' cross-motion for summary
judgment was to be filed by April 25, 2025; and Federal Defendants' reply in support of its
cross-motion for summary judgment was to be filed on May 9, 2025. (ECF No. 13).

2. Subsequent to that order, the Court granted Federal Defendants three

1 extensions to file their opposition and cross-motion, ultimately extending their deadline
2 from April 4, 2025, to April 17, 2025. (ECF No. 21).

3 3. Federal Defendants filed their opposition to Plaintiff's motion for summary
4 judgment and cross-motion for summary judgment on April 17, 2025. (ECF No. 22, 24).

5 4. The parties subsequently entered into a stipulation and order granted by this
6 Court extending the time for Plaintiff to file their reply in support of their motion for
7 summary judgment and their response to Federal Defendants' cross-motion for summary
8 judgment through May 9, 2025. (ECF No. 26).

9 5. Plaintiff filed his reply in support of his motion for summary judgment and
10 to Federal Defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment on May 9, 2025. (ECF No.
11 27).

12 6. Per the stipulated briefing schedule, Federal Defendants' reply in support of
13 its Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment was initially due on May 9, 2025 (ECF No. 13).

14 7. Because the dates were extended in the initial stipulated briefing schedule
15 such that Plaintiff filed his reply in support of his motion for summary judgment and to
16 Federal Defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment on May 9, 2025, the parties
17 requested that the Court extend the deadline for Federal Defendants to file their reply in
18 support of its counter-motion for summary judgment from May 9, 2025 through May 30,
19 2025 (ECF No. 28).

20 8. The Court granted the parties first request to extend the deadline for the
21 Federal Defendants to file their reply in support of its counter-motion for summary
22 judgment to May 30, 2025 (ECF No. 29).

23 9. The parties requested that the Court extend the deadline for the Federal
24 Defendants to file their reply in support of its counter-motion for summary judgment from
25 May 30, 2025 through June 6, 2025, as there is a Federal Holiday and to accommodate
26 Federal Defendants' counsels' work load as she has ENE evaluation statement due and a
27 settlement conference in a discrimination case that conflicted with the existing deadline
28 (ECF No. 30).

10. The Court granted the parties second request to extend the deadline for the Federal Defendants to file their reply in support of its counter-motion for summary judgment to June 6, 2025 (ECF No. 31).

11. The parties are requesting that the Court extend the deadline for the Federal Defendants to file their reply in support of its counter-motion for summary judgment from June 6, 2023 through June 13, 2025, as Federal Defendant's Counsel was out of town attending to a different case matter and has two other substantive motions due this week.

12. Good cause exists for this extension, as it will allow Federal Defendants' counsel time to review Plaintiff's filing to prepare a thorough and complete reply.

Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court extend the deadline for Federal Defendants to file its reply in support of its cross-motion for summary judgment from June 6, 2025, through June 13, 2025. This stipulation is requested in good faith and not for the purpose of undue delay.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of June of 2025.

SIGAL CHATTAH
United States Attorney

/s/ Maria E. Quiroga
MARIA E. QUIROGA, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 13939
7935 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 103
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

/s/ Karissa D. Neff
KARISSA D. NEFF
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for the United States

Attorney for Plaintiff

IT IS SO ORDERED:


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: June 10, 2025